

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/696,198 | 10/28/2003 | Chien-Ping Huang | 60173(71987) | 7288 | |
| 21874 7590 05/30/2007 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205 ART UNIT PAPER | ноа в | | | | |
| BOSTON, MA | . 02205 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2814 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/30/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | 1 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/696,198 | HUANG, CHIEN-PING | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Vikki H. Trinh | 2814 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 f | February 2007. | | |
| <u> </u> | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under | ance except for formal matters, pro | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac | cepted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | • • • | · · · | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | * * * | • | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat prity documents have been received in Applicat (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | _ | Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/696,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claims Status

1. Claims 1-8 are pending in this present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,198

Art Unit: 2814

5. Claims 1-4, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ku (US 2004/0099945)

Page 3

Ku discloses a multi-chip package device with a heat sink 50 (fig. 4), comprising a chip carrier 10 (fig. 4); at least one first chip 30 (fig. 4) or 31 (fig. 4) mounted on and electrically connected to a surface of the chip carrier 10; at least one semiconductor package 20 (fig. 4) mounted on and electrically connected to the surface of the chip carrier 10 (fig. 1); wherein the package appears to be "slightly" thicker than the first chip (note that the examiner broadly interprets the term "slightly" since it does not denote a definite dimension) and the heat sink 50 (fig. 4) mounted via an adhesion layer (page 4, [0061], lines 3-5) on a surface of the first chip 30, 31 (fig. 4) and a surface of the semiconductor package 20 (fig. 4) that are opposite to surfaces of the first chip 30, 31 (fig. 4) and the semiconductor package 20 mounted on the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4). The at least one hollow part 504 (fig. 4) extending through the heat sink 50 is formed at an area of the heat sink free of contact with the first chip and the semiconductor package to release thermal stresses from the heat sink through the at least one hollow part that remains hollow. However, Ku does not explicitly teach that a portion of the heat sink attached to the first chip is made of thicker than another portion of the heat sink mounted on the package. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ku with the portion of the heat sink attached to the first chip is made thicker than another portion of the heat sink mounted on the package, since it is a prima facie obvious to an artisan for optimization and experimentation to set a different thickness dimensions for the heat sink because applicants have not yet established any criticality or unexpected results for the different dimensions.

Art Unit: 2814

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. (In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578 (Fed. Cir. 1990).)

As to claim 2, Ku teaches that the semiconductor package 20 (fig. 4) is a flip-chip ball grid array package (specification, page 1, [0004]).

As to claim 3, Ku teaches that the first chip 30 or 31 (fig. 4) is capable of being a graphic chip.

As to claim 4, Ku teaches that the first chip 30 or 31 (fig. 4) is capable of being a graphic processing unit.

As to claim 6, Ku teaches that the first chip 30 or 31 (fig. 4) is mounted at the center of the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4), and the semiconductor package 10 is mounted at a position on the chip carrier 10 corresponding to a corner of the heat sink 50.

As to claim 7, Ku teaches that at least one pair of the semiconductor packages 20 (fig.4) are mounted on the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4), and the hollow part 504 (fig. 4) of the heat sink 50 (fig. 4) is located between the semiconductor packages.

As to claim 8, at least one symmetrical pair (fig. 4) of the hollow parts 504 (fig. 4) are formed through the heat sink 24(fig.4).

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ku in view of Behlen et al. (hereinafter Behlen) (5,598,033).

Ku discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ku and Behlen do not explicitly teach that the semiconductor package is a Random Access Memory (RAM) unit.

Art Unit: 2814

Behlen discloses an analogous BGA package 300 (fig. 3) having a carrier 338, solder bumps 314 (fig. 3), and chip 310 (fig. 3), wherein the package is a volatile RAM (col. 1, line 13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ku with a RAM, as taught by Behlen, as merely a matter of selecting a type of device for packaging.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 02/27/2007 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,198

Art Unit: 2814

Page 6

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1719. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM 5:30 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (571) 272-1705. The office fax number is 703-872-9306.
- 10. Any request for information regarding to the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Also, status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Pair. In addition, status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. If you have questions pertaining to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).
- Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests

Art Unit: 2814

to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.

Vikki Trinh, Patent Examiner AU 2814

> HOWARD WEISS PRIMARY EXAMINER